

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/521,659	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRUEKERS, ALPHONS ANTONIUS
	<b>Examiner</b> HO SHIU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2457

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) HO SHIU. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 February 2010

**Time:** 3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 8-9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ho Ting Shiu/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 for a proposed examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Authorization was given by applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 on 02/19/2010.